

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/987,779	IIDA ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Myles D. Robinson	2625

**All Participants:**

(1) Myles D. Robinson.

**Status of Application:** Non-Final Rejection

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Raymond DiPerna.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 18 September 2007

**Time:** 5:30PM

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

18

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The Examiner proposed an amendment to claim 18 to obviate possible §101 issues regarding computer-related subject matter. The Applicant agreed to the Examiner's proposed amendments.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)